

PIER Project Selection: Methodology & Matrix

PIER Scoring Matrix

The PIER scoring matrix is a tool used to evaluate and compare different PIER applications in a structured and objective way based on the criteria included in each application package. By using a scoring matrix, the PIER Selection Committee can ensure that they consider all relevant factors and make informed decisions based on a consistent set of criteria.

Possible Scores

The scoring matrix for the PIER Selection Committee has four possible scores: **0**, **1**, **2**, and **3**.

A score of **0** indicates that the application does not apply to the category being evaluated. The selection committee would assign a score of **0** when a particular project aspect or requirement is irrelevant to the category criteria. Scores of **0** will not be included in the section average or overall score as to not count against an application.

A score of **1** indicates that the application fails to meet the criteria being evaluated. The selection committee would assign a score of **1** when the application does not satisfy the requirements specified for a particular criterion.

A score of **2** indicates that the application meets the criteria being evaluated. The selection committee would assign a score of **2** when the application satisfies the requirements specified for a particular criterion.

Finally, a score of **3** means that the application exceeds the criteria being evaluated. The selection committee would assign a score of **3** when the application goes above and beyond the requirements specified for a particular criterion.

Scoring System

To produce a single score for an application, each score assigned by the individual selection committee member will be averaged across the specific criterion. Next, the criterion average scores will be averaged together to produce a section score. Finally, the section scores will be added together to produce an overall score for the application.

Disclaimer

Please note that the scoring matrix provided is intended to be used as an objective tool to evaluate the applications submitted. The scoring matrix is only one of the tools that the selection committee will use in the evaluation process. While the scoring matrix provides a structured and systematic approach to evaluating applications, it does not replace the judgment, expertise, and discretion of the selection committee.

The final decision on which projects to select will be made at the discretion of the selection committee, based on various factors such as the evaluation scores, the alignment of the projects with the program's objectives and priorities, the feasibility and sustainability of the projects, and the available resources and capacity to implement the projects. The selection committee reserves the right to consider other factors not explicitly included in the scoring matrix when making its final decision.

We encourage applicants to provide detailed and compelling information about their projects to help the selection committee make informed and fair decisions. If you have any questions or concerns about the evaluation process or the use of the scoring matrix, please do not hesitate to contact us at pier@jccltrg.org.

PIER Scoring Matrix

	Project Name
Scoring Matrix Scale	TOTAL SCORE:
0 = Does not Apply 1 = Fails to Meet Criteria 2 = Meets Criteria 3 = Exceeds Criteria	

SECTION 1: Project Summary		LTRG	SORED	JACKSON COUNTY	PHOENIX	TALENT	TOTAL
1.1	Project purpose, area of benefit, and description						
1.2	Project delivery summary						
1.3	Anticipated outcomes and proposed performance measures						
TOTAL							

SECTION 2: Unmet Needs and Alignment with State and Local Priorities		LTRG	SORED	JACKSON COUNTY	PHOENIX	TALENT	TOTAL
2.1	The unmet need(s) that will be addressed						
2.2	How the activity aligns with other planned federal, state, or local capital improvements and infrastructure development efforts, and/or other investments						
The following subsection evaluates the combined effects on Members of Protected Classes, HUD identified Vulnerable Populations, and Historically Underserved Communities:							
2.3	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Race						
2.4	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Color						
2.5	Effect on Members of Protected Class: National Origin						
2.6	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Religion						
2.7	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Sex						
2.8	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Familial Status						
2.9	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Disability						
TOTAL							

SECTION 3: Cost Reasonableness and Justification		LTRG	SORED	JACKSON COUNTY	PHOENIX	TALENT	TOTAL
3.1	Description of the cost evaluation process and prevention of premature obsolescence of infrastructure						
3.2	Method used to determine project funding requirements and qualifications of whoever completed construction cost estimate						
TOTAL							

SECTION 4: Resiliency and Long-term Recovery		LTRG	SORED	JACKSON COUNTY	PHOENIX	TALENT	TOTAL
4.1	Mitigation of loss of life or property in the face of current and future natural hazards						
4.2	Description of consultations for risk assessment						
4.3	Description of restoration of infrastructure and related long-term recovery needs within historically underserved communities						
4.4	Project design and construction to withstand chronic stresses and extreme events						
TOTAL							

SECTION 5: Maintenance and Operations		LTRG	SORED	JACKSON COUNTY	PHOENIX	TALENT	TOTAL
5.1	Future budget structures to fund maintenance and operation so the new asset, target operating reserves, anticipated insurance costs, and any other steps necessary to preserve useful function of the asset in case of future disaster						
TOTAL							

SECTION 6: National Objective		LTRG	SORED	JACKSON COUNTY	PHOENIX	TALENT	TOTAL
6.1	Meets the Low and Moderate Income (LMI) National Objective or the Urgent Need National Objective						
6.2	Project or program meets unmet needs which have a particular urgency, including addressing existing conditions that pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community						
TOTAL							

LTRG: PIER Evaluation Form

Evaluator's Name: _____
 Evaluator's Agency: _____

Project Name

Scoring Matrix Scale			
0 = Does not Apply	1 = Fails to Meet Criteria	2 = Meets Criteria	3 = Exceeds Criteria

SECTION 1: Project Summary		Score	Notes
1.1	Project purpose, area of benefit, and description		
1.2	Project delivery summary		
1.3	Anticipated outcomes and proposed performance measures		

SECTION 2: Unmet Needs and Alignment with State and Local Priorities		Score	Notes
2.1	The unmet need(s) that will be addressed		
2.2	How the activity aligns with other planned federal, state, or local capital improvements and infrastructure development efforts, and/or other investments		
The following subsection evaluates the combined effects on Members of Protected Classes, HUD identified Vulnerable Populations, and Historically Underserved Communities:			
2.3	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Race		
2.4	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Color		
2.5	Effect on Members of Protected Class: National Origin		
2.6	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Religion		
2.7	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Sex (including gender identity and sexual orientation)		
2.8	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Familial Status		
2.9	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Disability		

SECTION 3: Cost Reasonableness and Justification		Score	Notes
3.1	Description of the cost evaluation process and prevention of premature obsolescence of infrastructure		
3.2	Method used to determine project funding requirements and qualifications of whoever completed construction cost estimate		

SECTION 4: Resiliency and Long-term Recovery		Score	Notes
4.1	Mitigation of loss of life or property in the face of current and future natural hazards		
4.2	Description of consultations for risk assessment that led to the selection of the project		
4.3	Description of restoration of infrastructure and related long-term recovery needs within historically underserved communities		
4.4	Project design and construction to withstand chronic stresses and extreme events		

SECTION 5: Maintenance and Operations		Score	Notes
5.1	Future budget structures to fund maintenance and operation so the new asset, target operating reserves, anticipated insurance costs, and any other steps necessary to preserve useful function of the asset in case of future disaster		

SECTION 6: National Objective		Score	Notes
6.1	Meets the Low and Moderate Income (LMI) National Objective or the Urgent Need National Objective		
6.2	Project or program meets unmet needs which have a particular urgency, including addressing existing conditions that pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community		

SORED: PIER Proposal Form

Evaluator's Name: _____
 Evaluator's Agency: _____

Project Name

Scoring Matrix Scale			
0 = Does not Apply	1 = Fails to Meet Criteria	2 = Meets Criteria	3 = Exceeds Criteria

SECTION 1: Project Summary	Score	Notes
1.1 Project purpose, area of benefit, and description		
1.2 Project delivery summary		
1.3 Anticipated outcomes and proposed performance measures		

SECTION 2: Unmet Needs and Alignment with State and Local Priorities	Score	Notes
2.1 The unmet need(s) that will be addressed		
2.2 How the activity aligns with other planned federal, state, or local capital improvements and infrastructure development efforts, and/or other investments		
The following subsection evaluates the combined effects on Members of Protected Classes, HUD identified Vulnerable Populations, and Historically Underserved Communities:		
2.3 Effect on Members of Protected Class: Race		
2.4 Effect on Members of Protected Class: Color		
2.5 Effect on Members of Protected Class: National Origin		
2.6 Effect on Members of Protected Class: Religion		
2.7 Effect on Members of Protected Class: Sex (including gender identity and sexual orientation)		
2.8 Effect on Members of Protected Class: Familial Status		
2.9 Effect on Members of Protected Class: Disability		

SECTION 3: Cost Reasonableness and Justification	Score	Notes
3.1 Description of the cost evaluation process and prevention of premature obsolescence of infrastructure		
3.2 Method used to determine project funding requirements and qualifications of whoever completed construction cost estimate		

SECTION 4: Resiliency and Long-term Recovery	Score	Notes
4.1 Mitigation of loss of life or property in the face of current and future natural hazards		
4.2 Description of consultations for risk assessment that led to the selection of the project		
4.3 Description of restoration of infrastructure and related long-term recovery needs within historically underserved communities		
4.4 Project design and construction to withstand chronic stresses and extreme events		

SECTION 5: Maintenance and Operations	Score	Notes
5.1 Future budget structures to fund maintenance and operation so the new asset, target operating reserves, anticipated insurance costs, and any other steps necessary to preserve useful function of the asset in case of future disaster		

SECTION 6: National Objective	Score	Notes
6.1 Meets the Low and Moderate Income (LMI) National Objective or the Urgent Need National Objective		
6.2 Project or program meets unmet needs which have a particular urgency, including addressing existing conditions that pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community		

Jackson County: PIER Evaluation Form

Evaluator's Name: _____
 Evaluator's Agency: _____

Project Name

Scoring Matrix Scale			
0 = Does not Apply	1 = Fails to Meet Criteria	2 = Meets Criteria	3 = Exceeds Criteria

SECTION 1: Project Summary	Score	Notes
1.1 Project purpose, area of benefit, and description		
1.2 Project delivery summary		
1.3 Anticipated outcomes and proposed performance measures		

SECTION 2: Unmet Needs and Alignment with State and Local Priorities	Score	Notes
2.1 The unmet need(s) that will be addressed		
2.2 How the activity aligns with other planned federal, state, or local capital improvements and infrastructure development efforts, and/or other investments		
The following subsection evaluates the combined effects on Members of Protected Classes, HUD identified Vulnerable Populations, and Historically Underserved Communities:		
2.3 Effect on Members of Protected Class: Race		
2.4 Effect on Members of Protected Class: Color		
2.5 Effect on Members of Protected Class: National Origin		
2.6 Effect on Members of Protected Class: Religion		
2.7 Effect on Members of Protected Class: Sex (including gender identity and sexual orientation)		
2.8 Effect on Members of Protected Class: Familial Status		
2.9 Effect on Members of Protected Class: Disability		

SECTION 3: Cost Reasonableness and Justification	Score	Notes
3.1 Description of the cost evaluation process and prevention of premature obsolescence of infrastructure		
3.2 Method used to determine project funding requirements and qualifications of whoever completed construction cost estimate		

SECTION 4: Resiliency and Long-term Recovery	Score	Notes
4.1 Mitigation of loss of life or property in the face of current and future natural hazards		
4.2 Description of consultations for risk assessment that led to the selection of the project		
4.3 Description of restoration of infrastructure and related long-term recovery needs within historically underserved communities		
4.4 Project design and construction to withstand chronic stresses and extreme events		

SECTION 5: Maintenance and Operations	Score	Notes
5.1 Future budget structures to fund maintenance and operation so the new asset, target operating reserves, anticipated insurance costs, and any other steps necessary to preserve useful function of the asset in case of future disaster		

SECTION 6: National Objective	Score	Notes
6.1 Meets the Low and Moderate Income (LMI) National Objective or the Urgent Need National Objective		
6.2 Project or program meets unmet needs which have a particular urgency, including addressing existing conditions that pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community		

Phoenix: PIER Evaluation Form

Evaluator's Name: _____
 Evaluator's Agency: _____

Project Name

Scoring Matrix Scale			
0 = Does not Apply	1 = Fails to Meet Criteria	2 = Meets Criteria	3 = Exceeds Criteria

SECTION 1: Project Summary		Score	Notes
1.1	Project purpose, area of benefit, and description		
1.2	Project delivery summary		
1.3	Anticipated outcomes and proposed performance measures		

SECTION 2: Unmet Needs and Alignment with State and Local Priorities		Score	Notes
2.1	The unmet need(s) that will be addressed		
2.2	How the activity aligns with other planned federal, state, or local capital improvements and infrastructure development efforts, and/or other investments		
The following subsection evaluates the combined effects on Members of Protected Classes, HUD identified Vulnerable Populations, and Historically Underserved Communities:			
2.3	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Race		
2.4	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Color		
2.5	Effect on Members of Protected Class: National Origin		
2.6	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Religion		
2.7	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Sex (including gender identity and sexual orientation)		
2.8	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Familial Status		
2.9	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Disability		

SECTION 3: Cost Reasonableness and Justification		Score	Notes
3.1	Description of the cost evaluation process and prevention of premature obsolescence of infrastructure		
3.2	Method used to determine project funding requirements and qualifications of whoever completed construction cost estimate		

SECTION 4: Resiliency and Long-term Recovery		Score	Notes
4.1	Mitigation of loss of life or property in the face of current and future natural hazards		
4.2	Description of consultations for risk assessment that led to the selection of the project		
4.3	Description of restoration of infrastructure and related long-term recovery needs within historically underserved communities		
4.4	Project design and construction to withstand chronic stresses and extreme events		

SECTION 5: Maintenance and Operations		Score	Notes
5.1	Future budget structures to fund maintenance and operation so the new asset, target operating reserves, anticipated insurance costs, and any other steps necessary to preserve useful function of the asset in case of future disaster		

SECTION 6: National Objective		Score	Notes
6.1	Meets the Low and Moderate Income (LMI) National Objective or the Urgent Need National Objective		
6.2	Project or program meets unmet needs which have a particular urgency, including addressing existing conditions that pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community		

Talent: PIER Evaluation Form

Evaluator's Name: _____
 Evaluator's Agency: _____

Project Name

Scoring Matrix Scale			
0 = Does not Apply	1 = Fails to Meet Criteria	2 = Meets Criteria	3 = Exceeds Criteria

SECTION 1: Project Summary		Score	Notes
1.1	Project purpose, area of benefit, and description		
1.2	Project delivery summary		
1.3	Anticipated outcomes and proposed performance measures		

SECTION 2: Unmet Needs and Alignment with State and Local Priorities		Score	Notes
2.1	The unmet need(s) that will be addressed		
2.2	How the activity aligns with other planned federal, state, or local capital improvements and infrastructure development efforts, and/or other investments		
The following subsection evaluates the combined effects on Members of Protected Classes, HUD identified Vulnerable Populations, and Historically Underserved Communities:			
2.3	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Race		
2.4	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Color		
2.5	Effect on Members of Protected Class: National Origin		
2.6	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Religion		
2.7	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Sex (including gender identity and sexual orientation)		
2.8	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Familial Status		
2.9	Effect on Members of Protected Class: Disability		

SECTION 3: Cost Reasonableness and Justification		Score	Notes
3.1	Description of the cost evaluation process and prevention of premature obsolescence of infrastructure		
3.2	Method used to determine project funding requirements and qualifications of whoever completed construction cost estimate		

SECTION 4: Resiliency and Long-term Recovery		Score	Notes
4.1	Mitigation of loss of life or property in the face of current and future natural hazards		
4.2	Description of consultations for risk assessment that led to the selection of the project		
4.3	Description of restoration of infrastructure and related long-term recovery needs within historically underserved communities		
4.4	Project design and construction to withstand chronic stresses and extreme events		

SECTION 5: Maintenance and Operations		Score	Notes
5.1	Future budget structures to fund maintenance and operation so the new asset, target operating reserves, anticipated insurance costs, and any other steps necessary to preserve useful function of the asset in case of future disaster		

SECTION 6: National Objective		Score	Notes
6.1	Meets the Low and Moderate Income (LMI) National Objective or the Urgent Need National Objective		
6.2	Project or program meets unmet needs which have a particular urgency, including addressing existing conditions that pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community		